

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084

This Order Relates To:

*ALL CASES*

**PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 24:  
REJECTING BELLWETHER  
TRIAL CONSOLIDATION AND  
PROPOSING INDIVIDUAL TRIAL  
WAVES**

The Court has considered the issue of bellwether trial consolidation raised in the parties' Dkt. No. 2684 and 2685 letter briefs and concluded that consolidation would impair the effectiveness of the bellwether process. Therefore, there will be no consolidation of individual bellwether trials.

Nevertheless, the Court is mindful there should be some organization for pretrial and trial proceedings to maintain efficiency. To that end, the Court has tentatively concluded that the bellwether cases should be tried in the following five waves:

<b>TRIAL WAVE 1</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>State</b>
LCHB128	24-cv-7019	AZ
Jaylynn Dean	23-cv-6708	AZ
B.L.	24-cv-7940	CA
A.R.	24-cv-7821	CA
C.L.	23-cv-4972	MD/VA

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1

<b>TRIAL WAVE 2</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>State</b>
A.G.	24-cv-1915	OR
WHB 1898	24-cv-5027	MA
A.R. 2	24-cv-1827	PA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<b>TRIAL WAVE 3</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>State</b>
T.L.	24-cv-9217	GA
WHB 407	24-cv-5028	GA
WHB 318	24-cv-4889	NC
WHB 832	24-cv-4900	NC

<b>TRIAL WAVE 4</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>State</b>
Jane Doe QLF 001	24-cv-8783	TX
<i>K.E. (W.D. Tex.)</i>	24-cv-5281	TX
<i>JaneRoeCL68 (W.D. Tex.)</i>	24-cv-6669	TX
WHB 1486	24-cv-4803	TX

<b>TRIAL WAVE 5</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>State</b>
<i>Amanda Lazio (S.D. Iowa)</i>	24-cv-8937	IA
WHB 1876	24-cv-5230	IL
<i>D.J. (S.D. Miss.)</i>	24-cv-7228	IN
J.E.	24-cv-3335	MI

1       These proposed trial waves are designed to prioritize trying the bellwether cases  
2 with the broadest range of liability theories and challenged safety features. Once it is  
3 determined which bellwether cases will be in which trial waves, the Court shall instruct the  
4 parties to prepare to try each case within a given trial wave on the same designated date.  
5 Should the first case within a trial wave settle prior to the trial date, the parties will proceed  
6 to the second case, and so on down the line.

7       The parties are hereby notified that they should be prepared to discuss these  
8 proposed trial waves at the April 18, 2025 case management conference. The Court is  
9 particularly interested in the parties' positions on the appropriateness of the proposed  
10 groupings and the sequential order of the trial waves.

11      **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12      Dated: April 14, 2025



---

CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Judge